

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 04/13/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/858,366	05/16/2001	Richard A. Brauckman	TGXX-1005US	3214
7590 04/13/2005			EXAMINER	
KNOBLE & YOSHIDA, LLC			RAMANA, ANURADHA	
Eight Penn Cer Suite 1350	nter		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1628 John F. Kennedy Blvd.			3732	
Philadelphia, PA 19103			DATE MAIL ED. 04/12/200	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/858,366	BRAUCKMAN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Anu Ramana	3732			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory Pailure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a on. a reply within the statutory minimum of thiseriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	·	·			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on	14 February 2005.				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑					
3) Since this application is in condition for all					
closed in accordance with the practice und	der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>7-18, 20-31, 33 and 35-39</u> is/are 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>15-18,20-31,33,35 and 37-39</u> is/a 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>7-10,12 and 14</u> is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>11 and 13</u> is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	hdrawn from consideration. are allowed.				
Application Papers					
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>2/14/2005</u> is/are: a	a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objecte	d to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to	o the drawing(s) be held in abeya	ince. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Br	ments have been received. ments have been received in priority documents have bee ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4\ ☐ Interview	Summary (PTO-413)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94) 	8) Paper No	o(s)/Mail Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 3732

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

The finality of the last office action is withdrawn due to the new rejections in this office action.

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities. On page 12, line 24, Figure 10 should be Figure 11 to correct a minor typographical error.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim contains subject matter that was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Independent claim 7 recites that a portion of a catheter body is removable to provide access to the cavity in which the radioactive source is housed. Claim 12, dependent on claim 7, further states that the radioactive source is housed in the distal end of a carrier located in the catheter body. The limitations of claim 12 are not supported by Applicants' disclosure (Fig. 4 and page 8, lines 22-33), which states that the distal portion of carrier 37 and not catheter 40 is a plug 43 which can be removed.

Art Unit: 3732

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Liprie (US 5,282,781).

Liprie discloses a flexible metal tube or "catheter" 12 having a radioactive source core or "pellet" 25 housed in a cavity at a distal end of the tube wherein a plug 27 is provided to seal the radioactive source in the cavity and the radioactive source provides radioactivity in a range of 0.1 curie to about 1 curie per cm length of the radioactive portion (col. 8, lines 60-68, col. 9, lines 1-28, col. 10, lines 17-68, col. 11, lines 1-28 and MPEP 2131.03).

It is noted that the plug 27 is capable of being removed or "is removable" if access to the cavity is desired.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 9, 10 and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Liprie (US 5,282,781), as applied to claim 7, in view of Coniglione et al. (US 6,589,502).

Liprie discloses all elements of the claimed invention except for the radioactive source being immobilized in a polymeric material.

Application/Control Number: 09/858,366

Art Unit: 3732

Coniglione et al. teach forming a radioactive source, such as a pellet, by mixing radioactive material in a polymeric matrix (col. 4, lines 47-55).

Accordingly it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided a pellet made of radioactive material immobilized in a polymeric matrix since it was known in the art make a radioactive source by immobilizing a radioactive material in a polymeric matrix.

Regarding claims 10 and 36, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have utilized a polymer such as a silicone or polyimide elastomer, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use, herein flexibility, as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416.

Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Liprie (US 5,282,781), as applied to claim 7.

Liprie discloses all elements of the claimed invention except for carrier-free palladium 103 as the radiation source.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided a radioactive source such as carrier-free palladium-103, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use, herein a safe source of radioactivity, as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416.

Allowable Subject Matter

The indicated allowability of claims 7-10, 12 and 36 is withdrawn in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Claims 11 and 13 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 09/858,366

Art Unit: 3732

Claims 15-18, 20-30, 31, 33, 35, 37, 38 and 39 are allowed.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anu Ramana whose telephone number is (571) 272-4718. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday between 8:00 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Shaver can be reached at (571) 272-4720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ARtruadhe lamara April 8, 2005

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700